IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

MADGE et al.

Application No.: 10/659,178

Filed: September 9, 2003

For: Boronic Acid Salts

Confirmation No.: 7469

Art Unit: 1621

Examiner: Yevgeny Valenrod

Atty. Docket: 2451.0090006/BJD/GER

Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.132 Of Dr. Anthony Kennedy

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I, Anthony James Kennedy, declare and state as follows:

- 1. I am the Vice President of Development at Trigen Limited. Trigen Limited is the assignee of this patent application. I am also a co-inventor of the subject matter claimed in this patent application.
- 2. I received a B.Sc. in Biochemistry from Surrey University, an M.Sc. in Neurochemistry from the Institute of Psychiatry, London University, and a Ph.D. in Biochemistry from the Institute of Ophthalmology, London University. I was also an MRC Post-Doctoral Research Fellow at the School of Pharmacy, London University. A copy of my *curriculum vitae* is attached.
- 3. I have reviewed and am familiar with the Office Action dated February 5, 2007, the Amendment and Reply filed March 20, 2007, and the final Office Action dated May 23, 2007. I have also reviewed and am familiar with the application as filed and the claims as amended in the Amendment and Reply filed August 17, 2007.
- 4. I have also reviewed and am familiar with the documents cited by the Examiner in the final Office Action: Rewinkel *et al.*, *Curr. Pharm. Design* 5:1043 (1999) (hereinafter "Rewinkel"); de Nanteuil *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,814,622 (hereinafter "de Nanteuil"); and Adams *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,780,454 (hereinafter "Adams").

- 5. I understand that the Examiner has rejected claims 1-18, 21, 22, 23, 26-28 and 30-36 as obvious over Rewinkel, de Nanteuil and Adams. For the reasons I discuss in this Declaration, it is my opinion that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have expected that, before the priority date of the presently claimed invention, the peptidyl boronic acid salts recited in the claims would be useful as therapeutic thrombin inhibitors. In order for a compound to be pharmaceutically useful as a thrombin inhibitor, it must have sufficient stability for an acceptable shelf life and the prior art relating to peptidyl boronic acids, especially Wu et al., J. Pharm. Sci. 89: 758 (2000) (hereinafter "Wu", which I understand is of record in this application), gives the skilled person no reason to expect that base addition salts of such acids would provide improved stability over the unstable free acids, as I explain in the remainder of this Declaration.
- 6. Before I discuss the teachings of Rewinkel, de Nanteuil and Adams, which I believe the Examiner has particularly relied upon, I would like to put the present invention in context. The invention concerns peptidyl boronic acid thrombin inhibitors. However, the invention is not directed to novel peptidyl boronic acids but rather relates to the task of formulating a class of peptidyl boronic acids as pharmaceuticals. More particularly, the invention is directed to generic base addition salts of the described acids, the salts being intended to be used as active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs) in pharmaceutical drug formulations. The Examples of the present application describe base addition salts of a representative peptidyl boronic acid designated TRI 50c.
- 7. When thinking about peptidyl boronic acids, it is always important to remember that they are not ordinary peptides, which have a carboxyl group in place of the boronyl group of a peptidyl boronic acid. After my 30 year career in drug development, largely based on traditional organic chemical pharmaceuticals, I was faced with unique difficulties when I started working on boron compounds, in that boron is quite different from carbon, does not behave like carbon and presents some unusual challenges in the pharmaceutical development arena. As is well known, boron has a vacant p orbital and is therefore an electrophilic electron acceptor and, unlike carboxylic acids, boronic acids can therefore coordinate with water to form [RB(OH)₃] ions. The boron-oxygen bond is strengthened and shortened by ionic-covalent resonance and by

 $p\pi$ - $p\pi$ bonding but a certain amount of $p\pi$ - $p\pi$ bond energy is sacrificed when the vacant orbital accepts a pair of electrons to form a tetrahedral complex with approximately sp^3 hybridisation. The C-O bond energy has been reported as 560-790 kJ/mol and the B-O bond energy as 536 kJ/Mol. Note also that, since electronegativities increase across the periodic table, carbon is more electronegative than boron, and will tend to withdraw electrons from boron. (For authority on these issues, see F.A. Cotton and G. W Wilkinson, *vide infra*).

8. The chemical differences between peptides and peptidyl boronic acids, therefore, are significant. No doubt the factors which I have mentioned in the preceding paragraph contribute to one of these, which is the apparent inherent degradative instability of the boronyl group of peptidyl boronic acids in contrast to the stability of the peptidic carboxy group towards degradation. It is also noteworthy that peptidyl boronic acids are known not least from Gupta et al., International Patent Publication No. WO 02/059130 (hereinafter "Gupta", which I understand is of record in this application) to form with diols stable esters of the following structure:



Carboxylic acids are evidently incapable of forming such a structure since the carboxyl group posseses only one –OH moiety. Gupta takes advantage of this stable diol structure to remedy the instability of one exemplified free acid, MG-341, which became known as bortezomib. It may be of interest that it is the unique chemistry of boron which enables peptidyl boronic acids to act as protease inhibitors: they enter the protease's active site and block it by forming a tetrahedral complex with surrounding amino acids called a "transition state analogue". Peptides alone do not do this effectively and it was found that, by replacing the C-terminal amino acid of a peptide having affinity for the thrombin active site with its boronic acid analogue, a tight-binding thrombin inhibitor is obtained (see for example Elgendy et al, *Tetrahedron Letters* 33: 4209 (1992), which I understand is of record in this application). This important difference between peptides and their boronic acid analogues illustrates the remarkable changes in chemistry which can be effected by replacing a carboxy group (-COOH) with a boronyl group (-B(OH)₂).

- 9. In view of the important chemical differences between boron and carbon, the skilled person would, to say the least, be extremely cautious in extrapolating from the physicochemical behaviour of a carboxylic acid drug to the physicochemical behaviour of a boronic acid drug. Equally, the special behaviour of boron limits the value of any teachings relating to acid addition salts of basic drugs when considering base addition salts of boronic acid drugs. In my view, therefore, it would not generally be of value to consult a document relating to the physicochemical behaviour of the derivatisation of other drugs as salts when thinking about the physicochemical effects of derivatising the boronyl part of a boronic acid drug as a salt. It follows that documents like Davies *et al.*, The Pharmaceutical Journal, 2001, Vol 266, p322-323 (hereinafter "Davies") and Berge, Steven M. *et al.*, "Pharmaceutical Salts," *J. Pharm. Sci. 66(1)*: 1 (1977) (hereinafter "Berge"), which I understand is of record in this application, though of relevance to organic chemistry generally, would not be looked at by the skilled person seeking to stabilise the boronyl terminus of a peptidyl boronic acids.
- 10. The present invention relates to peptidyl boronic acid thrombin inhibitors and how to formulate them stably for pharmaceutical use. Within the class of compounds being claimed, a base addition salt of TRI 50c is being developed as a candidate active pharmaceutical ingredient (API). It is a second generation candidate API following on from a first generation candidate, which was a pinacol ester of TRI 50c known as TRI 50b:

(R,S,R)-TRI 50b Cbz-(R)-Phe-(S)-Pro-(R)-boroMpg-Pinacol

- 11. TRI 50b has never been commercialised as a drug. In fact free acid TRI 50c has never been sold as drug in any form, whether as the free acid or a derivative, e.g. a salt or ester. Some of its drug properties are described in "TRI 50b Non Confidential Information", Trigen Limited, July 2002, which I understand is of record in this application.
- 12. TRI 50b is therefore in the prior art and I understand that a good number of prior art documents describing TRI 50b and other similar molecules have been submitted to the US PTO in connection with this application, in the first Information Disclosure statement. Examples of these documents are mentioned on pages 9 and 10 of the application as filed under the heading "Neutral P1 Residue Boropeptide Thrombin Inhibitors".
- 13. Rewinkel is a review article and one of the thrombin inhibitors it describes is Compound 21 on page 1052. Compound 21 is a sibling compound of TRI 50b and falls within the class of boronic acids described in present claim 1. Rewinkel, however, does not show the correct structure of Compound 21. The authors of the Rewinkel paper are only reviewing earlier original references and one must look at those references to see what they actually teach. As mentioned in the second paragraph of page 1054 of Rewinkel, the original reference for Compound 21 is Reference 48 of Rewinkel, namely Deadman et al., *J. Med. Chem.* 1995, 38, 1511-1522 (hereinafter "Deadman"). Deadman is I understand of record in this application, having been submitted in the first Information Disclosure Statement. (Rewinkel is confusing as to which of References 48 and 49 relate to which of Rewinkel's compounds 20 and 21: I have looked at Reference 49, Lee et al, and it turns out to be about the cyanophenyl-substituted Compound 20 of Rewinkel, not the methoxypropyl Compound 21).
- 14. Turning now to Deadman, Rewinkel's Compound 21 is disclosed in Tables 3 and 5 of Deadman as Deadman's Compound 18. The compound's preparation is taught on page 1520, left hand column, of Deadman. Like all the compounds of the Deadman paper, Rewinkel's compound 21 is disclosed by Deadman exclusively in the

form of a diol ester, specifically the pinanediol ester in the case of Rewinkel's compound 21 (as is apparent from the synthesis described on page 1520 of Deadman). Incidentally, there were prior examples of peptidyl boronic acids being made and isolated as esters (see for example Shenvi *et al.*, US Patent No 4,499,082, issued 12 February 1985, which I understand is of record in this application).

- 15. Although Deadman discloses all its peptidyl boronic acids as esters of diols, it was well known before the present invention was made that the diols effectively act as prodrugs (Deadman et al, page 1512, right hand column, lines 19-22). For completeness, I would mention that another of the documents made of reference in the present application shows the free acid of Rewinkel's structure 21 in which R is morpholinocarbonyl instead of benzoyloxycarbonyl. This document is Skordalakes et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9935-9936. But, Skordalakes is concerned with crystallographic studies of the active principle (free acid) rather than with making a pharmaceutical formulation.
- 16. De Nanteuil discloses certain boronic acids, and certain salts that can purportedly be made (see column 3, lines 32-34). Adams discloses certain boronic acids, and certain salts that can purportedly be made (see Adams at column 9, lines 47-65). The only salts which De Nanteuil and Adams actually made were acid addition salts (many of de Nanteuil's examples describe hydrochloride or benzene sulfonate salts whilst Adams discloses a number of hydrochloride salts). No base addition salts are described as having been made in either patent.
- 17. As I explain in more detail below, peptidyl boronic acids are unstable and TRI 50c has real stability problems which I consider to make the molecule itself extremely difficult or impossible to put into a pharmaceutical formulation with adequate stability (shelf life) for practical use. The invention, therefore, concerns the making of pharmaceutical formulations. Neither Rewinkel, nor Deadman, nor de Nanteuil, nor Adams, nor yet Skordalakes is concerned with making pharmaceutical formulations while the key technical advance achieved by the present invention relates precisely to

pharmaceutical formulation. So I do not believe that any of these five documents provides relevant insight into the central challenge addressed by the present invention.

- 18. This challenge was to identify derivatives of peptidyl boronates which would be stable enough for pharmaceutical use. My colleagues and I found that base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acid TRI 50c enhance the stability of the free acid. TRI 50c is a representative of the class of peptidyl boronic acids described in the application. I discuss the data (showing how stability is enhanced) in more detail below.
- 19. As I understand the Examiner's arguments, it is the Examiner's view that it would have been obvious to make base addition salts of any of the peptidyl boronic acids of Adams to enhance their stability since it was supposedly obvious to try base addition salts. To show that this is not the case, I would like to take the Examiner through the Adams' story. Adams relates among other things to peptidyl boronic acid inhibitors of proteasome. A number of such compounds are listed in the Adams specification at column 15, lines 50 et seq., including at lines 54-55 N-(2-pyrazine)carbonyl-L-phenylalanine-L-leucine boronic acid. The same molecule is disclosed as compound MG-341 in columns 59-60 of Adams. Pharmaceutically acceptable salts of the boronic acids are described as "preferred" at column 9, lines 47-50 of Adams, as too are esters of the acids at column 10, lines 11-12.
- 20. To the best of my knowledge, nobody before Adams had ever investigated whether a base addition salt of a peptidyl boronic acid would be a suitable form of the acid for formulation or administration, and I do not know how the authors of the patent specification concluded that such salts were preferred. I cannot believe that a skilled scientist would have taken this view. As I pointed out at the beginning of this Declaration, the chemical behaviour of boron is unique and there was in my opinion no basis whatsoever for supposing that base addition salts would be preferred, and I would think that the stated "preference" for salts, including base addition salts, came from the patent attorney's pen particularly as there are no examples of base addition salts in Adams. Events subsequent to the issue of Adams tend to confirm my opinion since later work (e.g. Gupta) shows that MG-341 was stably formulated as a mannitol ester and

points away from base addition salts (Wu). (On the subject of salts, my recollection is that the literature contains ample examples of the actual preparation and isolation of acid addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids but, until the present invention, contained no such examples relating to a base addition salt of a peptidyl boronic acid. Some of the documents additional to Adams and de Nanteuil which specifically mention acid addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids or their esters are Shenvi, WO 95/09858 (Fevig), WO 94/21650 (Amparo) and EP 0471651 (Metternich), all of which have I understand been made of record in the present application.).

21. After the publication of Adams, and ignoring other patent family members, the next publication relating to the chemistry of MG-341 took place in 2000 (Wu), so far as I know. Wu has the following to say at the foot page 758:

"The chemical stability of peptide boronic acid derivatives, from a formulation perspective, has not been extensively reported in the literature to our knowledge. During an effort to formulate 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂ for parenteral administration, the compound showed erratic stability behaviour and was quite unstable in certain solvents."

Wu then describes various stability studies before stating the following in the final paragraph on page 763:

"Based on the known chemistry of boronic acids and the identity of the degradants, a degradation pathway of 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂ was proposed and is illustrated in Scheme 1. The initial oxidation can be attributed to peroxides or molecular oxygen and its radicals. Because light, metal ions and alkaline conditions normally facilitate oxidation, these conditions should not be favorable to the stability of 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂ or any other alkyl boronic acid derivative. Consistent with this conclusion is the observation that light accelerated the degradation of 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂. (Emphasis added).

22. In August 2002, more could be learned about the chemistry of 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂ upon the publication of Gupta. Whilst Gupta relates to a class of boronic acids, all the examples describe 2-Pyz-(CO)-Phe-Leu-B(OH)₂ (i.e. MG-341) and

it is plain that the application centres on that active principle. Gupta contains additional teaching relating to stability, commencing on page 2:

"Korcek et al., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans 2 242 (1972), teaches that butylboronic acid is readily oxidised by air to generate 1-butanol and boric acid. These difficulties limit the pharmaceutical utility of boronic acid compounds.....and limiting their shelf life.

There is thus a need in the art for improved formulations for boronic acid compounds. Ideally, such formulations would be conveniently prepared, would exhibit enhanced stability and longer shelf life as compared to the free boronic acid compound....."

- 23. Example 5 of Gupta describes the stability of a liquid formulation of MG-341 free acid. Despite containing ascorbic acid anti-oxidant, the liquid formulation was not stable for longer than 6 months when stored at 2-8°C (page 26, paragraph [0148]). On the other hand, lyophilized D-mannitol ester of MG-341 was found stable over a period of 18 months ([0149]).
- 24. The final chapter in the Adams story is represented by the FDA-approved package insert for MG-341, now called bortezomib (Velcade[®]). A copy of the package insert (13 May 2003) is annexed hereto, and it will be seen that the drug is sold as a lyophilized powder of the mannitol ester of the free boronic acid.
- 25. It has long been known that boric acid (B(OH)₃) is a weak acid. See for example Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, A Comprehensive Text, F A Cotton and G W Wilkinson, Third Edition 1972, John Wiley and Sons Inc, which states on page 230 that "[Boric acid] is a very weak and exclusively monobasic acid that is believed to act, not as a proton donor, but as a Lewis acid, accepting OH-". In my opinion, at the present invention's priority date (9th September 2002), the chemist of ordinary skill would therefore have concluded, as he still would now, that peptidyl boronic acids are weak acids. It is well known that the salts of weak acids with strong bases form basic

solutions, as in the case of sodium acetate. A reasonable prediction would therefore have been that salts of peptidyl boronic acids with a strong base such a sodium hydroxide would form basic solutions so, following Wu, negatively affecting stability. Similarly, exposure of the acid to base would be feared to have a negative effect on stability.

- 26. I reiterate that the present invention relates to making pharmaceutical formulations of, in particular, a peptidyl boronic acid previously investigated in the form of an ester, namely pinacol ester TRI 50b. In my opinion, therefore, the man of ordinary skill seeking to formulate a peptidyl boronic acid in September 2002 would have looked at the available information on making pharmaceutical formulations of such compounds as mentioned in paragraphs 21-23 above, and from these documents and from what I believe would have constituted his common general knowledge (see paragraph 25 above), he would have gleaned the following information:
 - peptidyl boronic acids are prone to oxidative degradation and, because light, metal ions and alkaline conditions normally facilitate oxidation, these conditions should not be favorable to stability
 - peptidyl boronic acids are weak acids and will form alkaline solutions with strong bases
 - base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids had never been tested for their suitability for formulating
 - but their esters had been so tested (e.g. Gupta, TRI 50b)
 - bortezomib was excessively unstable (Gupta, also Wu)
 - bortezomib was stabilized by manufacture as an ester, namely its lyophilized D-mannitol ester.
- 27. The facts relating to formulation of peptidyl boronic acids as I see them, therefore, point in September 2002 firmly in the direction of making esters for stability: base addition salts were unexplored. Insofar as any relevant guidance could be found in the literature describing peptidyl boronic acids, I believe that the sole pointer available was the teaching of Wu that alkaline conditions should be avoided. Anyone reading the teachings of Wu regarding the destabilizing effect of alkaline conditions, would be

surprised to learn that a peptidyl boronic acid could be stabilized by combining the acid with alkali, to form a salt.

- 28. The prejudice against exposing the compound to alkaline conditions is specific to boronic acid chemistry which, as I explained at the outset, is very different from the more mainstream carboxylic acid chemistry. In this respect, I do not see the relevance of Davies to the present discussion since Davies does not discuss boronic acids whereas the skilled person already knows from his own knowledge as well as from Wu that peptidyl boronic acids will not behave like ordinary peptides or other conventional pharmaceuticals.
- To me, Davies is in fact about the selection of salts from an available "pool" of salts (more probably a mentally available pool than a physically available one in many cases) but, before the present invention, there was no available pool (mental or otherwise) of base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids. Davies therefore does not even represent a starting point for further consideration so far as base addition salts are concerned. My conclusion as to the irrelevance of Davies to the present discussion is underlined by Davies' express teaching that the article relates to what is "commonly done" rather than to the specific field of peptidyl boronates. Thus Davies is concerned with the selection of salts in a context where "[c]hanging a drug from its free base or acid to a salt form is commonly done to improve its kinetics, absorption or physicochemical properties" (see the first sentence of Davies). On the other hand, changing a boronic acid drug from its free acid to a salt form to improve its kinetics, absorption or physicochemical properties is not commonly done, and so far as I am aware, has never previously been done. Davies has nothing to tell the skilled person about the base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids because, to my knowledge, the effects on such properties of converting a peptidyl boronic acid to a base addition salt had never been researched. Nor to my knowledge had there ever been any suggestion that the chemistry of peptidyl boronic acids made such acids suited to pharmaceutical formulation as base addition salts.

- 30. Rewinkel (1999), de Nanteuil (1998) and Adams (1998) are entirely silent as to the benefits or otherwise of base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids. (Recall at this point that Rewinkel does no more than restate a disclosure already made in 1995). Science advanced between 1998/99 and 2002 and we have the benefit of knowing what studies were conducted on the stability of boronic acids after 1998/99, what predictions these studies led to and what route the skilled chemist actually took to formulate them. Thus, Wu suggests to me that base addition salts would be a bad idea and Gupta that lyophilized D-mannitol esters would be an excellent idea. As a matter of interest, Gupta's predictions turned out to be substantiated by the bortezomib package insert (13 May 2003).
- 31. The skilled reader of Rewinkel, would in my opinion have had no reasonable expectation that base addition salts of the peptidyl boronic acids described in the claims of the present application would have enhanced stability. The reasons are that Wu teaches that alkaline conditions favour oxidation whilst formation of a salt from an acid requires addition of alkali. The mention of salts in Adams and de Nanteuil is to my eyes so-called "boilerplate" written by a patent attorney in relation to patent applications framed to protect new peptidyl boronic acid protease inhibitors, and cannot be seriously regarded as scientific teaching on meeting the challenges of formulating such acids, especially since Adams and de Nanteuil mention no such challenges (e.g. the challenge of shelf life and its associated requirement for adequate stability). The skilled scientist working on drug formulation would not find in Adams and de Nanteuil any useful teaching as to how a scientist should address the challenges of formulating a pharmaceutical drug and would look at these two patents no further. Moreover, those who developed Adams' unstable molecule bortezomib decided to stabilize it by derivatisation as a mannitol ester. The skilled man would I believe have been motivated by this history to make and test a mannitol ester of a peptidyl boronic acid drug. In just the same way, serious scientific study of Wu would I believe have pointed the skilled person away from base addition salts for the reasons I have already described.
- 32 Against the teaching that peptidyl boronate salts would be unstable, the present invention counterintuitively achieves stability for the defined class of peptidyl

boronic acids by formulating them as boronate salts. The data demonstrating this finding are in my view compelling. In particular, I have reviewed and am familiar with Appendix B attached to the response filed in this application on March 20, 2007. The first part of Appendix B is a Summary Stability Report for the free acid TRI 50c. Table 1 of the report shows that the free acid degraded dramatically over three months. Table 2 shows that, after three months at 25°C, the purity as measured by HPLC decreased from 97.18% to 58.83%. The second part of Appendix B is a Summary Stability Report for TRI 50c sodium salt, which shows that, after three months at 25°C, the purity as measured by HPLC decreased to 95.3%.

- 33 The data in the stability report are consistent with the stability data obtained in Examples 27 and 28 of this application, in which sodium and lysine salts were shown to be more stable than the free acid. The data in the stability report are also consistent with the data reported in Example 13 of U.S. Patent No. 7,112,572, which shows that calcium salt of TRI 50c is more stable than the free acid.
- To summarise the stability data, the data mentioned in paragraphs 32 and 33 above relate to sodium, lysine and calcium salts of free acid TRI 50c and show that all three salts are more stable than the comparative free acid. The solubilities reported in the present application and U.S. Patent No. 7,112,572 of these salts and the free acid are set out below:

Compound	Approx Solubility*	Source
TRI 50c	8 mM	Application, Example 25 (page 66)
TRI 50c sodium salt	90 mM	Application, Example 10 (page 59)
TRI 50c lysine salt	13 mM	Application, Example 20 (page 64)
TRI 50c calcium salt	5 mM	US 7,112,572, Example 8 (col 47)

^{*}For ease of reference, I have presented the solubility values given in the relevant patent specification and measured as described in, for instance, Examples 9 & 10 of the present application. These values have subsequently been refined but the values quoted in the table remain approximately correct.

- 35. As I stated above, Davies and Berge are not relevant to the present invention. If any further confirmation were needed of this, a comparison between the above data and the teaching of Davies and Berge will serve. Thus the "Stability" section of Davies (on page 323) describes that highly polar salts create a surface favouring wettability and that this can reduce stability (Davies is in fact here discussing salts of mineral acids). Davies further teaches that the formation of salts with low water solubility is a means of increasing the chemical stability of a drug which is susceptible to heat and moisture. Berge, in the paragraph bridging the two columns of page 9, teaches that sparingly soluble salts reduce the amount of drug in solution and hence degradation. At the end of the paragraph, in describing some particular salts of penicillin G, Berge states that the acceptable stability of the salts in aqueous solutions is based mainly on their insolubility and the minimization of degradation in solution. Accordingly, Davies and Berge teach that the stability of an unstable acid could be improved by converting the acid into a salt of reduced solubility. In contrast, the data presented above show that:
 - derivatisation of TRI 50c as a range of base addition salts increases stability
 - the sodium salt of TRI 50c, which is about ten times more soluble than TRI 50c, is significantly more stable than the free acid.

The above findings contradict the teachings of Davies and Berge, who both teach against generic effects by pointing out the importance of selection. Davies and Berge teach that the stability of an unstable free acid may be <u>reduced</u> by derivatising it as a salt more soluble than the acid. Davies and Berge therefore cannot be relevant to base addition salts of peptidyl boronic acids.

36. The much more relevant teachings are those of Wu and Gupta. It will be recalled in this regard that Wu points away from combining boronic acid drugs with a base, because alkaline conditions are described as promoting instability, whereas Gupta points towards stabilizing boronic acid drugs as D-mannitol esters. The skilled person had no reason to expect that the presently described peptidyl boronic acid salts would provide enhanced stability of the parent acid.

37. I further declare that the above statements made of my own knowledge are true and the above statements based on information and belief obtained from the documents discussed are believed to be true. Additionally, I declare that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Title 18 United States Code Section 1001, and that willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted.

Anthony James Kennedy, Ph.D.

Date 12th September 2007

698379_1.DOC

- Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
- 2 VELCADE^{IM} (bortezomib) for Injection
- 3 Prescribing Information

4 DESCRIPTION

5

VELCADETM (bortezomib) for Injection is an antineoplastic agent available for
 intravenous injection (IV) use only. Each single dose vial contains 3.5 mg of bortezomib
 as a sterile lyophilized powder. Inactive ingredient: 35 mg mannitol, USP.

8 9 10

11

12

Bortezomib is a modified dipeptidyl boronic acid. The product is provided as a mannitol boronic ester which, in reconstituted form, consists of the mannitol ester in equilibrium with its hydrolysis product, the monomeric boronic acid. The drug substance exists in its cyclic anhydride form as a trimeric boroxine.

13 14 15

The chemical name for bortezomib, the monomeric boronic acid, is [(1R)-3-methyl-1-[[(2S)-1-oxo-3-phenyl-2-[(pyrazinylcarbonyl) amino]propyl]amino]butyl]boronic acid.

16 17 18

Bortezomib has the following chemical structure:

19

20 21

25

The molecular weight is 384.24. The molecular formula is; C₁₉H₂₅BN₄O₄ The solubility of bortezomib, as the monomeric boronic acid, in water is 3.3-3.8mg/mL in a pH range of 2-6.5.

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

26 Mechanism of Action

- Bortezomib is a reversible inhibitor of the chymotrypsin-like activity of the 26S proteasome in mammalian cells. The 26S proteasome is a large protein complex that
- degrades ubiquitinated proteins. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway plays an essential
- 30 role in regulating the intracellular concentration of specific proteins, thereby maintaining
- 31 homeostasis within cells. Inhibition of the 26S proteasome prevents this targeted

proteolysis which can affect multiple signaling cascades within the cell. This disruption of normal homeostatic mechanisms can lead to cell death. Experiments have demonstrated that bortezomib is cytotoxic to a variety of cancer cell types in vitro.

Bortezomib causes a delay in tumor growth in vivo in non-clinical tumor models, including multiple myeloma.

37 38

Pharmacokinetics

Following intravenous administration of 1.3 mg/m² dose, the median estimated maximum plasma concentration of bortezomib was 509 ng/mL (range=109-1300 ng/mL) in eight patients with multiple myeloma and creatinine clearance values ranging from 31-169 mL/min. The mean elimination half-life of bortezomib after first dose ranged from 9 to 15 hours at doses ranging from 1.45 to 2.00 mg/m² in patients with advanced malignancies. The pharmacokinetics of bortezomib as a single agent have not been fully characterized at the recommended dose in multiple myeloma patients.

46 47

Distribution

48 49

The distribution volume of bortezomib as a single agent was not assessed at the recommended dose in patients with multiple myeloma. The binding of bortezomib to human plasma proteins averaged 83% over the concentration range of 100-1000 ng/mL.

51 52 53

50

Metabolism

54

55 In vitro studies with human liver microsomes and human cDNA-expressed cytochrome P450 isozymes indicate that bortezomib is primarily oxidatively metabolized via 56 57 cytochrome P450 enzymes, 3A4, 2D6, 2C19, 2C9, and 1A2. The major metabolic pathway is deboronation to form two deboronated metabolites that subsequently undergo 58 59 hydroxylation to several metabolites. Deboronated-bortezomib metabolites are inactive 60 as 26S proteasome inhibitors. Pooled plasma data from 8 patients at 10 min and 30 min after dosing indicate that the plasma levels of metabolites are low compared to the parent 61 62 drug.

63

Elimination

64 65

The pathways of elimination of bortezomib have not been characterized in humans.

66 67

Special Populations

68 69

Age, Gender, and Race: The effects of age, gender, and race on the pharmacokinetics of bortezomib have not been evaluated.

70 71 72

Hepatic Impairment: No pharmacokinetic studies were conducted with bortezomib in patients with hepatic impairment (see PRECAUTIONS).

75 76 77	Renal Impairment: No pharmacokinetic studies were conducted with bortezomib in patients with renal impairment. Clinical studies included patients with creatinine clearances values ranging from 13.8 to 220 mL/min (see PRECAUTIONS).
78 79 80	Pediatric: There are no pharmacokinetic data in pediatric patients.
81	Drug Interactions:
82	No formal drug interaction studies have been conducted with bortezomib.
83 84 85	In vitro studies with human liver microsomes indicate that bortezomib is a substrate of cytochrome P450 3A4, 2D6, 2C19, 2C9, and 1A2 (see PRECAUTIONS).
86 87 88 89	Bortezomib is a poor inhibitor of human liver microsome cytochrome P450 1A2, 2C9, 2D6, and 3A4, with IC ₅₀ values of > 30 μ M (> 11.5 μ g/mL). Bortezomib may inhibit 2C19 activity (IC ₅₀ =18 μ M, 6.9 μ g/mL) and increase exposure to drugs that are substrates for this enzyme.
91 92 93	Bortezomib did not induce the activities of cytochrome P450 3A4 and 1A2 in primary cultured human hepatocytes.
94	CLINICAL STUDIES
95 96	Clinical Study in Relapsed and Refractory Multiple Myeloma
97 98 99 100 101 102	The safety and efficacy of VELCADE were evaluated in an open-label, single-arm, multicenter study of 202 patients who had received at least 2 prior therapies and demonstrated disease progression on their most recent therapy. The median number of prior therapies was six. Baseline patient and disease characteristics are summarized in Table 1.
103 104 105 106 107	An IV bolus injection of VELCADE 1.3 mg/m ² /dose was administered twice weekly for 2 weeks, followed by a 10-day rest period (21 day treatment cycle) for a maximum of 8 treatment cycles. The study employed dose modifications for toxicity (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). Patients who experienced a response to VELCADE treatment were allowed to continue VELCADE treatment in an extension study.

Table 1: Summary of Patient Population and Disease Characteristics *

1	09
1	10

	N=202
Patient Characteristics:	***************************************
Median Age in Years (Range)	59 (34,84)
Gender: Male/Female	60%/40%
Race: Caucasian/Black/Other	81%/10%/8%
Karnofsky Performance Status Score ≤ 70	20%
Hemoglobin <100 g/L	44%
Platelet count <75 x 10 ⁹ /L	21%
Disease Characteristics:	
Type of myeloma (%): IgG/IgA/Light chain	60%/24%/14%
Median β ₂ -microglobulin (mg/L)	3.5
Median Creatinine Clearance (mL/min)	73.9
Abnormal Cytogenetics	35%
Chromosome 13 Deletion	15%
Median Duration of Multiple Myeloma Since Diagnosis in Years	4.0
Previous Therapy	
Any Prior Steroids, e.g., dexamethasone, VAD	99%
Any Prior Alkylating Agents, e.g., MP, VBMCP	92%
Any Prior Anthracyclines, e.g., VAD, mitoxantrone	81%
Any Prior Thalidomide Therapy	83%
Received at Least 2 of the Above	98%
Received at Least 3 of the Above	92%
Received All 4 of the Above	66%
Any Prior Stem Cell Transplant /Other High-dose Therapy	64%
Prior Experimental or Other Types of Therapy	44%

^{*}Based on number of patients with baseline data available

analyses because they had minimal prior therapy.

111 112 113

114

115 116

117 118

119

120

Responses to VELCADE alone are shown in Table 2. Response rates to VELCADE alone were determined by an independent review committee (IRC) based on criteria published by Blade and others¹. Complete response required < 5% plasma cells in the marrow, 100% reduction in M protein, and a negative immunofixation test (IF-). Response rates using the SWOG criteria are also shown. SWOG response required a ≥ 75% reduction in serum myeloma protein and/or ≥ 90% urine protein². A total of 188 patients were evaluated for response; 9 patients with nonmeasurable disease could not be evaluated for response by the IRC. Five patients were excluded from the efficacy

121 122

124

123 Ninety-eight percent of study patients received a starting dose of 1.3 mg/m². Twentyeight percent of these patients received a dose of 1.3 mg/m² throughout the study, while

- 125 33 % of patients who started at a dose of 1.3 mg/m² had to have their dose reduced during
- the study. Sixty-three percent of patients had at least one dose held during the study. In
- 127 general, patients who had a confirmed CR received 2 additional cycles of VELCADE
- 128 treatment beyond confirmation. The mean number of cycles administered was six.

- 130 The median time to response was 38 days (range 30 to 127 days).
- 131 The median survival of all patients enrolled was 16 months (range <1 to 18+ months).

132 Table 2: Summary of Disease Outcomes

Response Analyses (VELCADE monotherapy) N=188	N (%)	(95% CI)
Overall Response Rate (Blade) (CR + PR)	52 (27.7%)	(21, 35)
Complete Response(CR) ¹	5 (2.7%)	(1, 6)
Partial Response(PR) ²	47 (25%)	(19, 32)
Clinical Remission (SWOG) ³	33 (17.6%)	(12, 24)
Kaplan-Meier Estimated Median Duration of Response (95% CI)	365 Days	(224, NE)

133 Complete response required 100% disappearance of the original monoclonal protein from blood and

- urine on at least 2 determinations at least 6 weeks apart by immunofixation, and <5% plasma cells in the
- bone marrow on at least two determinations for a minimum of six weeks, stable bone disease and calcium.
- 136 Partial Response requires ≥ 50% reduction in serum myeloma protein and ≥ 90% reduction of urine
 137 myeloma protein on at least 2 occasions for a minimum of at least 6 weeks, stable bone disease and
 138 calcium.
- 3 Clinical Remission (SWOG) required ≥75% reduction in serum myeloma protein and/or ≥ 90%
 reduction of urine myeloma protein on at least 2 occasions for a minimum of at least 6 weeks, stable bone
- 141 disease and calcium.

142

- In this study, the response rate to VELCADE was independent of the number and types of prior therapies. There was a decreased likelihood of response in patients with either
- >50% plasma cells or abnormal cytogenetics in the bone marrow. Responses were seen
- in patients with chromosome 13 abnormalities.

- 148 A small dose-response study was performed in 54 patients with multiple myeloma
- received a 1.0 mg/m²/dose or a 1.3 mg/m²/dose twice weekly for two out of three weeks.
- 150 A single complete response was seen at each dose, and there were overall (CR + PR)
- response rates of 30% (8/27) at 1.0 mg/m² and 38% (10/26) at 1.3 mg/m².

152	INDICATIONS AND USAGE
153 154 155	VELCADE TM (bortezomib) for Injection is indicated for the treatment of multiple myeloma patients who have received at least two prior therapies and have demonstrated disease progression on the last therapy.
156 157 158	The effectiveness of VELCADE is based on response rates (see CLINICAL STUDIES section). There are no controlled trials demonstrating a clinical benefit, such as an improvement in survival.
159	CONTRAINDICATIONS
160 161	VELCADE is contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to bortezomib, boron or mannitol.
162	WARNINGS
163 164	VELCADE should be administered under the supervision of a physician experienced in the use of antineoplastic therapy.
165	Pregnancy Category D
166 167 168 169	Women of childbearing potential should avoid becoming pregnant while being treated with VELCADE.
170 171 172 173 174	Bortezomib was not teratogenic in nonclinical developmental toxicity studies in rats and rabbits at the highest dose tested (0.075 mg/kg; 0.5 mg/m ² in the rat and 0.05 mg/kg; 0.6 mg/m ² in the rabbit) when administered during organogenesis. These dosages are approximately half the clinical dose of 1.3 mg/m ² based on body surface area.
175 176 177 178 179	Pregnant rabbits given bortezomib during organogenesis at a dose of 0.05mg/kg (0.6 mg/m²) experienced significant post-implantation loss and decreased number of live fetuses. Live fetuses from these litters also showed significant decreases in fetal weight. The dose is approximately 0.5 times the clinical dose of 1.3 mg/m² based on body surface area.
181 182 183 184 185	No placental transfer studies have been conducted with bortezomib. There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. If VELCADE is used during pregnancy, or if the patient becomes pregnant while receiving this drug, the patient should be apprised of the potential hazard to the fetus.
186	PRECAUTIONS
187 188 189 190 191	Peripheral Neuropathy: VELCADE treatment causes a peripheral neuropathy that is predominantly sensory, although cases of mixed sensori-motor neuropathy have also been reported. Patients with pre-existing symptoms (numbness, pain or a burning feeling in the feet or hands) and/or signs of peripheral neuropathy may experience worsening during treatment with VELCADE. Patients should be monitored for symptoms of

- 192 neuropathy, such as a burning sensation, hyperesthesia, hypesthesia, paresthesia, discomfort or neuropathic pain. Patients experiencing new or worsening peripheral 193 194 neuropathy may require change in the dose and schedule of VELCADE (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION). Limited follow-up data regarding the outcome of 195 peripheral neuropathy are available. Of the patients who experienced treatment emergent 196 neuropathy more than 70% had previously been treated with neurotoxic agents and more 197 198 than 80% of these patients had signs or symptoms of peripheral neuropathy at baseline (Also see ADVERSE REACTIONS). 199 200 Hypotension: VELCADE treatment can cause orthostatic/postural hypotension in about 201 12% of patients. These events are observed throughout therapy. Caution should be used 202 when treating patients with a history of syncope, patients receiving medications known to be associated with hypotension, and patients who are dehydrated. Management of 203 204 orthostatic/postural hypotension may include adjustment of antihypertensive medications. 205 hydration, or administration of mineralocorticoids. 206 207 Gastrointestinal Adverse Events: VELCADE treatment can cause nausea, diarrhea. 208 constipation, and vomiting (see ADVERSE REACTIONS) sometimes requiring use of 209 antiemetics and antidiarrheals. Fluid and electrolyte replacement should be administered 210 to prevent dehydration. Thrombocytopenia: Thrombocytopenia, which occurred in about 40% of patients 211 212 throughout therapy, was maximal at day 11 and usually recovered by the next cycle.
- 213 Complete blood counts including platelet counts should be frequently monitored
- throughout treatment. Onset is most common in Cycles 1 and 2 but can continue 214
- 215 throughout therapy. There have been reports of gastrointestinal and intracerebral
- hemorrhage in association with VELCADE induced thrombocytopenia. VELCADE 216
- 217 treatment may be temporarily discontinued if patients experience Grade 4
- thrombocytopenia. VELCADE may be reinitiated at a reduced dose after resolution of 218
- 219 thrombocytopenia (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and ADVERSE
- REACTIONS). 220

222 Patients with Hepatic Impairment:

- 223 Bortezomib is metabolized by liver enzymes and bortezomib's clearance may decrease in
- 224 patients with hepatic impairment. These patients should be closely monitored for
- 225 toxicities when treated with VELCADE.
- 226 (see CLINICALPHARMACOLOGY/Pharmacokinetics-Special Populations)

227 228

Patients with Renal Impairment:

229 230

231

- No clinical information is available on the use of VELCADE in patients with creatinine clearance values less than 13 mL/min and patients on hemodialysis. These patients
- should be closely monitored for toxicities when treated with VELCADE (see 232
- 233 CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY/Pharmacokinetics-Special Populations).

234

Animal Toxicity Findings:

237 Cardiovascular toxicity

 Studies in monkeys showed that administration of dosages approximately twice the recommended clinical dose resulted in heart rate elevations, followed by profound progressive hypotension, bradycardia, and death 12-14 hours post dose. Doses ≥ 1.2 mg/m² induced dose proportional changes in cardiac parameters. Bortezomib has been shown to distribute to most tissues in the body, including the myocardium. In a repeated dosing toxicity study in the monkey, myocardial hemorrhage, inflammation, and necrosis were also observed.

Chronic Administration

In animal studies at a dose and schedule similar to that recommended for patients (twice weekly dosing for 2 weeks followed by 1 week rest) toxicities observed included severe anemia and thrombocytopenia, gastrointestinal, neurological and lymphoid system toxicities. Neurotoxic effects of bortezomib in animal studies included axonal swelling and degeneration in peripheral nerves, dorsal spinal roots, and tracts of the spinal cord. Additionally, multifocal hemorrhage and necrosis in the brain, eye, and heart were observed.

Information for Patients

Physicians are advised to discuss the following with patients to whom VELCADE will be administered.

Effects on Ability to Drive or Operate Machinery or Impairment of Mental Ability:
Since VELCADE may be associated with fatigue, dizziness, syncope, orthostatic/postural hypotension, diplopia or blurred vision, patients should be cautious when operating machinery, including automobiles.

Pregnancy/Nursing: Patients should be advised to use effective contraceptive measures to prevent pregnancy and to avoid breast feeding during treatment with VELCADE.

Dehydration/Hypotension: Since patients receiving VELCADE therapy may experience vomiting and/or diarrhea, patients should be advised regarding appropriate measures to avoid dehydration. Patients should be instructed to seek medical advice if they experience symptoms of dizziness, light headedness or fainting spells.

Concomitant Medications: Patients should be cautioned about the use of concomitant medications that may be associated with peripheral neuropathy (such as amiodarone, antivirals, isoniazid, nitrofurantoin, or statins), or with a decrease in blood pressure.

Peripheral Neuropathy: Patients should be instructed to contact their physician if they experience new or worsening symptoms of peripheral neuropathy (see PRECAUTIONS and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION).

28.2	Drug Interactions
283 284	No formal drug interaction studies have been conducted with VELCADE.
285 286 287 288 289 290	In vitro studies with human liver microsomes indicate that bortezomib is a substrate for cytochrome P450 3A4, 2D6, 2C19, 2C9, and 1A2. Patients who are concomitantly receiving VELCADE and drugs that are inhibitors or inducers of cytochrome P450 3A4 should be closely monitored for either toxicities or reduced efficacy (see CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY/Pharmacokinetics-Drug Interactions).
291 292 293 294 295	During clinical trials, hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia were reported in diabetic patients receiving oral hypoglycemics. Patients on oral antidiabetic agents receiving VELCADE treatment may require close monitoring of their blood glucose levels and adjustment of the dose of their antidiabetic medication.
296 297	There have been several SAE reports since filing. These reports were submitted to the IND. If the Agency feels this information is unnecessary, the language can be removed.
298	Drug Laboratory Test Interactions
299	None known.
300	Carcinogenesis, Mutagenesis, Impairment of Fertility
301 302	Carcinogenicity studies have not been conducted with bortezomib.
303 304 305 306 307	Bortezomib showed clastogenic activity (structural chromosomal aberrations) in the <i>in vitro</i> chromosomal aberration assay using Chinese hamster ovary cells. Bortezomib was not genotoxic when tested in the <i>in vitro</i> mutagenicity assay (Ames test) and <i>in vivo</i> micronucleus assay in mice.
308 309 310 311 312 313	Fertility studies with bortezomib were not performed but evaluation of reproductive tissues has been performed in the general toxicity studies. In the 6-month rat toxicity study, degenerative effects in the ovary were observed at doses $\geq 0.3 \text{ mg/m}^2$ (one-fourth of the recommended clinical dose), and degenerative changes in the testes occurred at 1.2 mg/m ² . VELCADE could have a potential effect on either male or female fertility.
314	Pregnancy Category D (see WARNINGS)
315	
316	Nursing Mothers
317 318 319 320	It is not known whether bortezomib is excreted in human milk. Because many drugs are excreted in human milk and because of the potential for serious adverse reactions in nursing infants from VELCADE, women should be advised against breast feeding while being treated with VELCADE.
321	Pediatric Use:
322	The safety and effectiveness of VELCADE in children has not been established.

- 323 Geriatric Use:
- Of the 202 patients enrolled, 35% were 65 years of age or older. Nineteen percent (19%)
- of patients aged 65 years or older experienced responses versus 32% in patients under the
- age of 65. Across the 256 patients analyzed for safety, the incidence of Grade 3 or 4
- events reported was 74%, 80%, and 85% for patients \leq 50 years, 51 to 65 years, and > 65
- 328 years, respectively.

ADVERSE REACTIONS

- 331 The two studies described (see Clinical Studies) evaluated 228 patients with multiple
- myeloma receiving VELCADE 1.3 mg/m²/dose twice weekly for 2 weeks followed by a
- 333 10-day rest period (21 day treatment cycle length) for a maximum of 8 treatment cycles.
- 334 The most commonly reported adverse events were asthenic conditions (including fatigue,
- malaise and weakness) (65%), nausea (64%), diarrhea (51%), appetite decreased
- 336 (including anorexia) (43%), constipation (43%), thrombocytopenia (43%), peripheral
- 337 neuropathy (including peripheral sensory neuropathy and peripheral neuropathy
- 338 aggravated) (37%), pyrexia (36%), vomiting (36%), and anemia (32%).
- Fourteen percent of patients experienced at least one episode of grade 4 toxicity, with the
- most common toxicity being thrombocytopenia (3%) and neutropenia (3%).

341

- 342 Serious Adverse Events (SAEs): Serious Adverse Events are defined as any event,
- regardless of causality that: results in death, is life-threatening, requires hospitalization or
- prolongs a current hospitalization, results in a significant disability or is deemed to be an
- important medical event. A total of 113 (50%) of the 228 patients experienced SAEs
- during the studies. The most commonly reported SAEs included pyrexia (7%),
- pneumonia (7%), diarrhea (6%), vomiting (5%), dehydration (5%), and nausea (4%).

348

- 349 Adverse events thought by the investigator to be drug-related and leading to
- discontinuation occurred in 18% of patients. The reasons for discontinuation included
- peripheral neuropathy (5%), thrombocytopenia (4%), diarrhea (2%), and fatigue (2%).

352

- 353 Two deaths were reported and considered by the investigator to be possibly related to
- study drug: one case of cardiopulmonary arrest and one case of respiratory failure.

- The most common adverse events are shown in Table 3. All adverse events occurring at
- 357 ≥ 10% are included. In the single arm studies conducted it is often not possible to
- 358 distinguish adverse events that are drug-caused and those that reflect the patient's
- underlying disease. See discussion of specific adverse reactions following Table 3.

360 Table 3: Most Commonly Reported (≥10% Overall) Adverse Events (N=228)

	Al	Patients (N = 228) in	(%)
Adverse Event	All Events	Grade 3 Events	Grade 4 Events
Asthenic conditions	149 (65)	42 (18)	1 (<1)
Nausea	145 (64)	13 (6)	0
Diarrhea	116 (51)	16 (7)	2 (<1)
Appetite decreased	99 (43)	6 (3)	0
Constipation	97 (43)	5 (2)	0
Thrombocytopenia	97 (43)	61 (27)	7 (3)
Peripheral neuropathy	84 (37)	31 (14)	0
Pyrexia	82 (36)	9(4)	0
Vomiting	82 (36)	16 (7)	1 (<1)
Anemia	74 (32)	21 (9)	0
Headache	63 (28)	8 (4)	0
Insomnia	62 (27)	3(1)	0
Arthralgia	60 (26)	11 (5)	0
Pain in limb	59 (26)	16 (7)	0
Edema	58 (25)	3(1)	0
Neutropenia	55 (24)	30 (13)	
Paresthesia and dysesthesia	53 (23)	6 (3)	6 (3)
Dyspnea	50 (22)	7 (3)	0
Dizziness (excluding vertigo)	48 (21)	3(1)	1 (<1)
Rash	47 (21)	1 (<1)	0
Dehydration	42 (18)	15 (7)	0
Upper respiratory tract infection	41 (18)	0	
Cough	39 (17)	1 (<1)	0
Bone pain	33 (14)	5 (2)	0
Anxiety	32 (14)	0	
Myalgia	32 (14)	5 (2)	0
Back pain	31 (14)		0
Muscle cramps	31 (14)	9 (4)	0
Dyspepsia	30 (13)	1 (<1)	0
Abdominal pain	29 (13)	5 (2)	0
Dysgeusia	29 (13)	1(<1)	0
Hypotension	27 (12)	8 (4)	0
Rigors	27 (12)		0
Herpes zoster	26 (11)	1(<1)	0
Pruritus	26 (11)	2 (<1)	0
Vision blurred	25 (11)	0	0
Pneumonia	23 (10)	1 (<1)	0
	23 (10)	12 (5)	0

361 <u>Asthenic conditions</u> (fatigue, malaise, weakness)

362 363

Asthenia was reported in 65% of patients and was predominantly reported as Grade 1 or 2. The first onset of fatigue was most often reported during the 1st and 2nd cycles of therapy. Asthenia was Grade 3 for 18% of patients. Two percent of patients discontinued treatment due to fatigue.

366 367 368

364

365

Gastrointestinal Events

369

The majority of patients experienced gastrointestinal adverse events during the studies, including nausea, diarrhea, constipation, and vomiting. Grade 3 or 4 gastrointestinal events occurred in 21% of patients and were considered serious in 13% of patients.

Vomiting and diarrhea each were of Grade 3 severity in 7% of patients and were Grade 4 in <1%. Five percent of patients discontinued due to gastrointestinal events. Appetite decreased (anorexia) was reported as an adverse event for 43% of patients. The incidence of Grade 3 decreased appetite was 3%.

377

Thrombocytopenia

378 379 380

381

382

383

384

Thrombocytopenia was reported during treatment with VELCADE for 43% of patients. The thrombocytopenia was characterized by a dose related decrease in platelet count during the VELCADE dosing period (Days 1 to 11) with a return to baseline in platelet count during the rest period (Days 12 to 21) in each treatment cycle. Thrombocytopenia was Grade 3 or 4 in intensity for 27% and 3% respectively of patients. Four percent (4%) of patients discontinued VELCADE treatment due to thrombocytopenia of any grade.

385 386 387

Peripheral Sensory Neuropathy

.388 389

Events reported as peripheral neuropathy, peripheral sensory neuropathy, and peripheral neuropathy aggravated occurred in 37% of patients. Peripheral neuropathy was Grade 3 390 for 14% of patients with no Grade 4 events. New onset or worsening of existing 391 neuropathy was noted throughout the cycles of treatment. Six percent (6%) of patients 392 discontinued VELCADE due to neuropathy. More than 80% of all study patients had 393 signs or symptoms of peripheral neuropathy at baseline evaluation. The incidence of 394 Grade 3 neuropathy was 5% (2 of 41 patients) in patients without baseline neuropathy. 395 Symptoms may improve or return to baseline in some patients upon discontinuation of 396 VELCADE. The complete time-course of this toxicity has not been fully characterized. 397

398 399

Pyrexia

400

Pyrexia (> 38°C) was reported as an adverse event for 36% of patients and was assessed as Grade 3 in 4% of patients.

403 Neutropenia

- Neutropenia occurred in 24% of patients and was grade 3 in 13% and grade 4 in 3%.
- The incidence of febrile neutropenia was <1%.

406				
407	<u>Hypotension</u>			
408				
409	Hypotension (including reports of orthostatic hypotension) was reported in 12% of			
410	patients. Most events were Grade 1 or 2 in severity. Grade 3 hypotension occurred in			
411	4% of patients; no patient experienced Grade 4 hypotension. Patients developing			
412	orthostatic hypotension did not have evidence of orthostatic hypotension at study entry:			
413	half had pre-existing hypertension and one third had evidence of peripheral neuropathy.			
414	Doses of antihypertensive medications may need to be adjusted in patients receiving			
415	VELCADE. Four percent of patients experienced hypotension, including orthostatic			
416 417	hypotension, and had a concurrent syncopal event.			
418	Serious Adverse Events from Clinical Studies			
419				
420	In approximately 580 patients, the following serious adverse events (not described above			
421	were reported, considered at least possibly related to study medication, in at least one			
422	patient treated with VELCADE administered as monotherapy or in combination with			
423	other chemotherapeutics. These studies were conducted in patients with hematological			
424	malignancies and in solid tumors.			
425				
426	Blood and lymphatic system disorders: Disseminated intravascular coagulation			
427				
428	Cardiac disorders: Atrial fibrillation aggravated, atrial flutter, cardiac amyloidosis,			
429	cardiac arrest, cardiac failure congestive, myocardial ischemia, myocardial infarction,			
430	pericardial effusion, pulmonary edema, ventricular tachycardia			
43 I				
432	Gastrointestinal disorders: Ascites, dysphagia, fecal impaction, gastritis hemorrhagic,			
433	gastrointestinal hemorrhage, hematemesis, ileus paralytic, large intestinal obstruction			
434	paralytic intestinal obstruction, small intestinal obstruction, large intestinal perforation,			
435	stomatitis, melena, pancreatitis acute			
436				
437	Hepatobiliary: Hyperbilirubinemia, portal vein thrombosis			
438				
439	Immune system disorders: Anaphylactic reaction, drug hypersensitivity, immune			
440	complex mediated hypersensitivity			
441				
442	Infections and Infestations: Bacteremia			
443				
444	Injury, poisoning and procedural complications: skeletal fracture, subdural hematoma			
445	subduta nematoma			
446	Metabolism and nutrition disorders: Hypocalcemia, hyperuricemia, hypokalemia,			
447	hyponatremia, tumor lysis syndrome			

448 449 450 451	Nervous system: Ataxia, coma, dizziness, dysarthria, dysautonomia, cranial palsy, grand mal convulsion, hemorrhagic stroke, motor dysfunction, spinal cord compression, transient ischemic attack
452 453	Psychiatric: Agitation, confusion, psychotic disorder, suicidal ideation
454 455 456 457	Renal and urinary: Calculus renal, bilateral hydronephrosis, bladder spasm, hematuria urinary incontinence, urinary retention, renal failure, acute and chronic, glomerular nephritis proliferative
458 459 460 461 462	Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal: Acute respiratory distress syndrome, atelectasis, chronic obstructive airways disease exacerbated, dysphagia, dyspnea, dyspnea exertional, epistaxis, hemoptysis, hypoxia, lung infiltration, pleural effusion, pneumonitis, respiratory distress, respiratory failure
463 464	Vascular: Cerebrovascular accident, deep venous thrombosis, peripheral embolism, pulmonary embolism
465	OVERDOSAGE
466 467 468 469 470 471 472	Cardiovascular safety pharmacology studies in monkeys show that lethal IV doses are associated with decreases in blood pressure, increases in heart rate, increases in contractility, and ultimately terminal hypotension. In monkeys, doses of 3.0 mg/m ² and greater (approximately twice the recommended clinical dose) resulted in progressive hypotension starting at 1 hour and progressing to death by 12 to 14 hours following drug administration.
473 474 475 476 477 478	No cases of overdosage with VELCADE were reported during clinical trials. Single doses of up to 2.0 mg/m ² per week have been administered in adults. In the event of overdosage, patient's vital signs should be monitored and appropriate supportive care given to maintain blood pressure and body temperature (see PRECAUTIONS and DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION).
479	There is no known specific antidote for VELCADE overdosage.
480	DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION
481 482 483 484 485	The recommended dose of VELCADE is 1.3 mg/m ² /dose administered as a bolus intravenous injection twice weekly for two weeks (days 1, 4, 8, and 11) followed by a 10-day rest period (days 12-21) (see CLINICAL STUDIES section for a description of dose administration during the trials).
486 487 488	This 3-week period is considered a treatment cycle. At least 72 hours should elapse between consecutive doses of VELCADE.
489	Dose Modification and Reinitiation of Therapy:

- VELCADE therapy should be withheld at the onset of any Grade 3 non-hematological or 491
- Grade 4 hematological toxicities excluding neuropathy as discussed below (see 492
- PRECAUTIONS). Once the symptoms of the toxicity have resolved, VELCADE 493
- therapy may be reinitiated at a 25% reduced dose (1.3 mg/m²/dose reduced to 1.0 494
- mg/m²/dose; 1.0 mg/m²/dose reduced to 0.7 mg/m²/dose). The following table contains 495
- the recommended dose modification for the management of patients who experience 496
- VELCADE-related neuropathic pain and/or peripheral sensory neuropathy (Table 4). 497
- Patients with pre-existing severe neuropathy should be treated with VELCADE only after 498
- 499 careful risk/ benefit assessment.

Table 4: Recommended Dose Modification for VELCADE-related neuropathic pain and/or peripheral sensory neuropathy

Severity of Peripheral Neuropathy Signs and Symptoms	Modification of Dose and Regimen
Grade 1 (paresthesias and/or loss of reflexes) without pain or loss of function	No action
Grade 1 with pain or Grade 2 (interfering with function but not with activities of daily living)	Reduce VELCADE to 1.0 mg/m ²
Grade 2 with pain or Grade 3 (interfering with activities of daily living)	Withhold VELCADE therapy until toxicity resolves. When toxicity resolves reinitiate with a reduced dose of VELCADE at 0.7 mg/m ² and change treatment schedule to once per week.
Grade 4 (Permanent sensory loss that interferes with function)	Discontinue VELCADE

NCI Common Toxicity Criteria website - http://ctep.info.nih.gov/reporting/ctc.html

502 503 504

505

506

507

500

501

Administration Precautions: VELCADE is an antineoplastic. Caution should be used during handling and preparation. Proper aseptic technique should be used. Use of gloves and other protective clothing to prevent skin contact is recommended. In clinical trials, local skin irritation was reported in 5% of patients, but extravasation of VELCADE was not associated with tissue damage.

508 509 510

Reconstitution/Preparation for Intravenous Administration: Prior to use, the contents of each vial must be reconstituted with 3.5 mL of normal (0.9%) saline, Sodium Chloride Injection, USP. The reconstituted product should be a clear and colorless solution.

512 513 514

515

516

511

Parenteral drug products should be inspected visually for particulate matter and discoloration prior to administration whenever solution and container permit. If any discoloration or particulate matter is observed, the reconstituted product should not be used.

517 518 519

Stability: Unopened vials of VELCADE are stable until the date indicated on the package when stored in the original package protected from light.

522 523	VELCADE contains no antimicrobial preservative. When reconstituted as directed, VELCADE may be stored at 25°C (77°F); excursions permitted from 15 to 30°C (59 to		
524	86°F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature]. Reconstituted VELCADE should be		
525	administered within eight hours of preparation. The reconstituted material may be stored		
526	in the original vial and/or the syringe prior to administration. The product may be stored		
527	for up to three hours in a syringe, however total storage time for the reconstituted		
528	material must not exceed eight hours when exposed to normal indoor lighting.		
529		- 3	
530	HOW SUPPLIED		
531			
532	VELCADE (bortezomib) for Injection is supplied as individually cartoned 10 mL vials		
533	containing 3.5 mg of bortezomib as	s a white to off-white cake or powder.	
534		•	
535	NDC 63020-049-01 3	.5 mg single dose vial	
536			
537	STORAGE		
538			
539	Unopened vials may be stored at controlled room temperature 25° C (77° F); excursions		
540	permitted from 15 to 30° C (59 to 8	66° F) [see USP Controlled Room Temperature].	
541	Retain in original package to protect from light.		
542			
543	Caution: Rx only.		
544	VIO D		
545	U.S. Patents: 5,780,454, 6,083,903, 6,297,217		
546	751 - 13		
547	Distributed and Marketed by:	Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
548		75 Sidney St.	
549		Cambridge, MA 02139	
550			
551	(A)		
552	MILLENNIUM"		
553	© 2003, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		

References

- 1. Blade J, Samson D, Reece D, Apperley J, Bjorkstrand B, Gahrton G et al. Criteria for evaluating disease response and progression in patients with multiple myeloma treated by high- dose therapy and haemopoietic stem cell transplantation. Myeloma Subcommittee of the EBMT. European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplant. British Journal of Haematology 1998; 102 (5): 1115-23.
- Salmon SE, Haut A, Bonnet JD, Amare M, Weick JK, Durie BG et al. Alternating combination chemotherapy and levamisole improves survival in multiple myeloma: a Southwest Oncology Group Study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 1983; 1 (8): 453-61.

565	VELCADE™ (bortezomib) for Injection
566	
567	Patient Information
568	
569 570	VELCADE is intended for use under the guidance and supervision of a health care
57U 571	professional. Please discuss the possibility of the following side effects with your doctor:
572	Effects on Ability to Drive or Operate Machinery or Impairment of Mental Ability:
573	VELCADE may be associated with fatigue, dizziness, light-headedness, fainting or
574	blurred vision. Please exercise caution or avoid operating machinery, including
575	automobiles, following use of VELCADE.
576	
577	Pregnancy/Nursing: Please use effective contraceptive measures to prevent pregnancy and avoid breast feeding during treatment with VELCADE.
578	
579	
580 581	Deydration/Hypotension: Following the use of VELCADE therapy, you may experience vomiting and/or diarrhea. Drink plenty of fluids. Speak with your doctor if these
582	symptoms occur and what you should do to control or manage these symptoms.
583	
584	If you experience symptoms of dizziness or light-headedness, consult a healthcare professional. Seek immediate medical attention if you experience fainting spells.
585	
86	
587	Concomitant Medications: Please speak with your doctor about any other medication you are currently taking. Your doctor will want to be aware of any other medications.
588 589	
590	Peripheral Neuropathy: Contact your doctor if you experience new or worsening
91	symptoms of peripheral neuropathy, such as numbness, pain, or a burning feeling in the
92	feet or hands.
193	

Curriculum Vitae

Dr Tony Kennedy

Family Information

Age 59. Married with 3 children . Home Address 29, Ox Lane, Harpenden, Herts. AL5 4HF.

Tel 0044 1 582 768736 Mobile 00447866430325 E-mail tonykennedy48@hotmail.com

Professional Career

Vice President Development Trigen Ltd - since April 2002.

Past Work Experience

Roche 1997-2002

- Global Head of Project Management, Roche Pharma.Basel
- Member of the Pharma Strategic Marketing Management Team
- Member of the Roche Development Committee

The Global Project Management group comprised 30 staff located in Basel, Nutley USA and Welwyn UK. The group provides project management for Roche's international development teams (LifeCycle Teams). As Head of Project Management I managed the LifeCycle Teams Goal setting and performance assessment process. As a member of the Development Committee and the Pharma Strategic Marketing Management Team I

participated actively in the review and valuation of the Roche Portfolio and in prioritisation and allocation of resources.

Earlier Responsibilities at Roche

- Site Head Project Management Group Roche Welwyn UK
- Global Project Leader for Tamiflu (Neuraminidase inhibitor for influenza)

Headhunted to Roche in April 1997 to lead the Tamiflu development team. The project was a top development priority for Roche being in direct competition with Glaxo's flu drug Relenza but substantially behind in development. I led this team from phase 1 trials to NDA filing (April1999). The Team took the drug from first entry in man to NDA filing in 2 years. The first marketing approval was granted in September 1999 and US NDA approval was in October 1999 3.5 years after the discovery of the molecule. Entering the US market the same flu season as Glaxo's Relenza it established market dominance in the first year.

SmithKline Beecham (1988 – 1997)

Project Director and Head of Project Management Cluster

Responsibility for leading Worldwide Project Teams in several therapeutic areas including anti-infectives (Augmentin, novel carbapenem antibiotics, metallo betalactamase inhibitors) cardiovasculars (Eminase and novel thrombolytics), lipid modifying agents (ACAT inhibitors, sequestrants), gastrointestinal projects (reversible/irreversible proton pump inhibitors including Pantoprazole), anti-diabetic agents (Avandia) and immunomodulators for cancer indications. These projects included biologicals and small molecule NCE's, spanned all development phases including life cycle management and included co-development projects with US, European and Japanese Pharma companies.

Non Project Responsibilities

Project Management representative on SB 'Breakthrough Teams' redesigning development processes to fast track projects and meet market needs.

Established and led the highly acclaimed SB 'Drug Development Simulation Training Programme' which trained over 700 staff in the USA and UK from all areas of Pharma about commercially focused product development.

Roche Products Ltd Welwyn (1977-1987)

Development Project Leader 1984-87

Project leader for Project Teams which included a novel immunomodulator anti-rheumatic agent and the ACE inhibitor Cilazapril. Local team leader for Roferon-A.

Senior Research Pharmacologist 1977-1984

Research work in autoimmune inflammation. Establishment of in vitro and in vivo immune assays for T and B cell function and selection of development leads. Discovery Project Team leader from 1980 for 3 projects. My experimental work contributed to the progression into development of 3 drugs and to patents.

Academic Background

Medical Research Council Post Doctoral Research Fellow, The School of Pharmacy, London University

PhD. Biochemistry London University

MSc. Neurochemistry London University

BSc. Biochemistry Surrey University

My research work is described in over 30 publications.

Pharmaceutical Project Management Interests

- Editor 'Pharmaceutical Project Management' (Marcel Dekker 1998). 2nd
 Edition to be released 2008.
- Founder Member of the steering group of the UK Pharmaceutical Industry Project Management Group.

 Chairman of the 'Effective Project Management' Seminars run quarterly by Management Forum in London in the decade from 1989.

Personal Interests

Travel, antiques, keeping fit (old English meaning).